

**MINUTES OF MEETING
TALIS PARK
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT**

The regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Talis Park Community Development District was held on Wednesday, March 9, 2022 at 10:00 a.m. at the Vyne House, 16665 Toscana Circle, Naples, Florida 34110.

Present and constituting a quorum were:

James Proctor	Chairman
Steven Wishner	Vice Chairman
Sheila Leith	Assistant Secretary
Kevin Shields	Assistant Secretary
Mike Smale	Assistant Secretary

Also present were:

Paul Winkeljohn	District Manager
Alyssa Willson	District Counsel (by phone)
Josh Evans	District Engineer (by phone)
Tyler Kitson	Kitson Partners
Jackie Larocque	Atwell LLC
Joe Pergolizzi	Resident

FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS

Roll Call

Mr. Winkeljohn called the meeting to order and stated we have a quorum.

SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS

Audience Comments (Related to Right to Speak Statute Changes)

There not being any, the next item followed.

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS

Approval of the Minutes of the February 9, 2022 Meeting

Mr. Winkeljohn: The minutes from our February 9th meeting have been circulated, if those are in order, a motion to approve would be appreciated.

On MOTION by Mr. Proctor seconded by Mr. Wishner with all in favor, the Minutes of the February 9, 2022 Meeting were approved.

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Consideration of Resolution #2022-02 Registered Agent

Mr. Winkeljohn: Item No. 4 is a resolution identifying the registered agent, as you remember we confirmed our legal representation and this reflects that information, is there a motion to accept?

On MOTION by Mr. Wishner seconded by Mr. Smale with all in favor, Resolution #2022-02 for registered agent was approved.

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Consideration of Resolution #2022-03 Approving the Proposed Fiscal Year 2023 Budget and Setting the Public Hearing

Mr. Winkeljohn: I went ahead and added the proposed budget on today's agenda because, one, the sequence of events allowed, we have to have a proposed budget by June 15th, so that checks that box. The second thing it does is, I do not expect necessarily an increase to our operations and maintenance assessments. We have lots of projects, we'll always have lots of projects that could change that, but I would want to have that prepared months in advance, and we're not in that position, so I'm not expecting a 2023 operations and maintenance increase, and so it's ok to go ahead and propose the current assessment rate be held over for the next budget as well. The line items of the budget allow us some project money, prior year savings, so we can bundle that up to attack a project that we are talking about, but I don't think it necessitates or really in a position to go to the residents and say, hey, we're raising your taxes for half information, to put it lightly, we just don't have everything evolved to a point of decision. So, with that thinking, today you would be proposing the 2023 budget, and I brought loose copies if you need

one, but it's in your book also which is the assessment, and I'll say it again, the line items can be adjusted at adoption, and anytime throughout the year, we're not restrained in that respect, we're only restraining ourselves today that we're not increasing the assessment and we're also preventing ourselves from having to do this again between now and June.

Mr. Wishner: And does this include the contemplated spending for the berm and other projects that we had talked about?

Mr. Winkeljohn: Yes, in a general sense, as we get that into focus, we will amend the budget to reflect exactly what we're going to buy, and how we're going to buy it, that's another topic we don't know yet.

Mr. Wishner: Ok.

Mr. Winkeljohn: So, with that, the hardest part I think, not necessarily the most critical but the hardest one is to know where we're going to be in a few months, and hit that correctly, so you guys have been very good at attendance. The other requirement after starting the process by June 15th is to adopt the budget no sooner than 60 days, so what that does is it puts you in a little bit of a timing question, which today being March, your May 11th meeting would work for the adoption, and if that's when you're all in town still. I know May starts to be a time where people are distant, we would need 3 people present at a minimum and so if 1 or 2 of you are definitely going to be out of town, we could survive that if we have a hard commitment from everybody. There is a bit of an advertisement cost for the budget, the other thing with that whole overview stated, there is a bailout option, because the June 15th deadline is still before all those dates, if we change our mind in May, we can re-set the whole process and if say, for whatever reason we decided to raise the assessment, we'd still have a chance to do that, so we're not putting any handcuffs on ourselves ultimately, if that timeline works for you all. So, I'm not seeing anybody digging their calendars out, or looking pale or anything like that.

Mr. Proctor: I expect to be around.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Ok, so there's one.

Mr. Wishner: Currently, I think I will still be around.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Alright, so that feels pretty good, so only needing 3 in the room, staff would recommend that resolution #2022-03 be approved with May 11th as the adoption date as the public hearing.

Mr. Smale: Before we go through that, can you review the reserves and where they are?

Mr. Winkeljohn: Yes, welcome to government fund accounting, it's a little bit blurry, I get it. The best place to start that conversation is in the financials, which is in your book at the back, not in the budget. This is a function of government accounting that kind of, it's not to try and hide things, it's just that we have to put things in the right category to meet the fund accounting principles. So, if you look at page 1 of your financials, you'll see the general column on the left is your operating account. That is the operations and maintenance fund, and if you flip the page, you'll see your revenue is \$511,000 on the top line there, well flipping back, you have a balance of \$872,000 and you're already close to halfway through the year, a little less than halfway, closer to the first third. With that being said, you can quickly deduct that you're not going to spend all of that money, you're not going into reserves this year, unnaturally, and so that shows you have a cash surplus, of a little over easily \$300,000 and probably more than that because you're further into the year. So, the other way to start to split that up is in the field line of the financials, you'll see there's several lines that are for lack of a better term less than specific, which means that they're general contingency, flexible discretionary spending type lines. We've been doing that for a while to meet the accounting rules because if you put a name on it, and you don't spend it for that, you create a false message to yourselves as your history, if you will. So, we leave it in a general concept and then when we know what we're going to buy, we amend the budget, we put a line item, say irrigation repair, or rebuilding, or we'll put the right term on it as a capital expense, and then we'll move the funds that we want to spend that year in a very deliberative motion. So, that's where the balance concepts come from of how we operate, we build those funds, we don't spend them necessarily until we decide to specifically, and that would come before the Board, I will amend the budget and show you how you're going to spend it, and what you can spend. So, the other thing on the budget, if you want to go to the draft budget which is back up to what's before you right now is, just after tab 5, that budget will start with a zero balance, and that's why I went through the financials first, if that helps you. So, you usually, I think it's about \$150,000 a year of expected unspent annual assessment, and so that's why we're comfortable biting off some of these projects in a 1, 2 or 3 year process, and you

haven't bought anything in the last year and a half, so you're in good shape there, and what I'll do is once I see a number that Kevin and I get from this irrigation proposal and we get those bids, I'll put all that together for you, I'll put the target capital expense, what the funds required are, what the funds available are, and I'll recommend a spending plan.

Mr. Smale: Ok, so going back to page 1 in the back there, just to make sure I'm looking at this correctly, we have the \$872,000 fund balance, there's a total of about \$110,000 in accounts payable and due to the debt service account, so that implies that the real balance is more like \$762,000, is that a good way to look at it?

Mr. Winkeljohn: \$763,000 to be exact.

Mr. Smale: Ok, \$763,000, and then we'd have about \$250,000 to \$300,000 left to spend this year, which would leave at the end of the fiscal year, if we use \$300,000 it's \$463,000 in a reserve at the end of the fiscal year.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Right, and some of that I will identify that you should hold for what we call first quarter operating, because you can't deplete all your cash, and then you would make a conscious choice of what you want to buy.

Mr. Smale: So, we'd have very close to one year operating in reserves?

Mr. Winkeljohn: Exactly.

Mr. Smale: In dealing with your other Districts, is that good?

Mr. Winkeljohn: That's the target, that's always my target.

Mr. Smale: Ok, so that's the target.

Mr. Winkeljohn: With you all, when you have a lot of infrastructure, landscaping and material that can be damaged in a storm, I would probably double that, or my ultimate goal for you would be to double that, would be to grow to twice that.

Mr. Smale: Ultimately, when does ultimately start?

Mr. Winkeljohn: As soon as you have the courage.

Mr. Smale: Ok, so what you're saying is, we'd be healthier if we doubled that, but then we'd have to go to the residents and ask for an increase.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Right, and the philosophical element is, how much money do I take from people today for tomorrow, as you go, versus how if there's an equity concept in that, so you assess enough to be at that target, if you go too far you're really taking today's money for somebody in the future and that's not fair, so that's how I approach it.

Mr. Wishner: It's hard to go to voters and say, we want to increase your assessment to build reserves when we haven't been spending down the reserves.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Right, so I think I sort of have a long vision for you which is to bite off these big projects every 3 to 5 years and you'll rebuild at least to this level at that rate without going back to the residents.

Mr. Smale: Well, aren't we, I think it was our January meeting we talked about the I-75 berm and maybe we should let the course redo the timing of it as it relates to the irrigation issues and all of that.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Exactly.

Mr. Smale: And maybe deferring some landscaping work is because the tear up and the redo of the irrigation, so we gain a little bit there, but it would seem to me this would be a time where we maybe dip into this reserve for that big project.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Yes, I feel that way too and that's how it seems to be fitting the 2023 decision making, and then this time next year we'll have a real clear focus on the projects that are still left to do and you'll have to make a choice, if you want to keep rebuilding the reserves that are at a pretty slow angle or you want to go back to the residents and refresh it fast, that will be your choice.

Mr. Smale: Part of that thinking is we know we have this rebuild the berm going on for whatever, for 3 years, and a total of \$350,000 roughly.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Exactly.

Mr. Smale: Should we plan to build up another \$150,000 now so that it's not all at once two years from now?

Mr. Winkeljohn: Right, stretch it out a little bit further.

Mr. Shields: I think you have to wait until you get the estimates in.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Right, without the estimates we're not in a position to really make a hard decision.

Mr. Shields: Right.

Mr. Winkeljohn: But I think it's prudent, you've done the hard decision a couple of years ago where you are financed to the point where you have that as an option, is to wait a little bit, bite it off on the reserve, drop your reserves not necessarily below like what is livable but, you're going to recover because your assessment is going to keep

generating it. So, I'm comfortable recommending it with that reality in front of us, that as the pricing comes in, the labor market is doing worse things every day, we'll have our day to decide that, but I don't think we're ready today.

Mr. Smale: Ok, and just in terms of a little history, our assessment rate for every lot has been the same for as long as I've been on the Board I can remember.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Right, and this is our fourth year.

Mr. Smale: Right, it's been the same.

Mr. Winkeljohn: And I might lose a year because of the COVID math

Mr. Smale: Right, but it's probably somewhere between 3 and 5 years.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Yes sir, you're right at \$959, it's been that way definitely about 4 years.

Mr. Smale: Ok, so based on our discussion, I make the second to that motion.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Yes, that was a motion from Steve and it would be a second from Mike Smale, and that is May 11th that would be your adoption date.

On MOTION by Mr. Wishner seconded by Mr. Smale with all in favor, Resolution #2022-03 approving the proposed Fiscal Year 2023 Budget and setting the Public Hearing on May 11, 2022 at 10:00 a.m. at 16665 Toscana Circle, Naples, Florida was approved.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Our guests are here, so I'll suspend the normal order of the agenda and move directly to item No. 7.

SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Discussion of Procedures for the General Election

(This item was discussed at a later point in the meeting)

SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Approval of Agreement Regarding Lake Maintenance Easement Release and Substitution with KE Talis Park Properties, LLC

Mr. Winkeljohn: So, that would bring us to the release and Alyssa is on the phone, and I don't know who wants to take the steering wheel on this one.

Mr. Kitson: I'd like to take Alyssa with the help of Jackie and the team from Atwell, so I don't butcher it.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Alright, Alyssa?

Ms. Willson: Sure, yes, I'm happy to present the agreement that we worked on to sort of put to paper some of the items that we discussed at the last meeting. Essentially, this is a draft agreement that contemplates the release of the lake maintenance easement, a grant of acceptance to access until the time of platting that would just be contractual grant of access, and then at the time of platting there would be a platted drainage easement as well as any conveyance of the lake improvements upon final construction and grant of easement. It allows for the release of the easement, it provides for the alternate access, it provides that Josh as the District engineer will ensure that this District has sufficient access to a stormwater improvement prior to the final platting so Josh will have a chance to review those platted drainage easements to ensure that the District has sufficient access and work with the development team. It provides for landowner payment of any True Up obligation in conjunction with the final site plan approval, so this is what we discussed at the last meeting where if they are building fewer units than what is contemplated in the assessment methodology, this will essentially be prepaying the debt associated with any of those missing units so there will be no change to your assessment for that existing unit. It provides for a partial release of the existing maintenance and repair easement that the District, we've reviewed to have no need for as there are no District improvements located within that portion of the easement. It provides that upon completion of construction and District engineering certification, that the improvements were constructed in accordance with the District capital improvement plan in all government requirements, not just the District's requirement, that would be the water management District requirement, your other local government requirements that the stormwater improvements will be conveyed to the District, and that's consistent with the letter agreement that is currently in place and attached as exhibit B to this agreement, it just provides a little bit more detail now that we know a little bit more about the development plan, and it also provides that the developer will pay all costs associated with the preparation of this agreement and the actions contemplated herein, so that would be actions associated with the conveyance of the improvements and other related items,

and forms of the two releases attached as exhibit C and D, and I believe that Jackie has a description of the released areas to attach to exhibit D, and we may need to kind of adjust the language in exhibit D just to more accurately describe the exhibit, so we are requesting that you approve this in substantial form to accommodate minor changes to the language in exhibit to statutory.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Thank you. Are there any questions?

Mr. Smale: Now that I'm looking at this, and looking at what's in exhibit A in the packet, first of all what does this mean, Tract LB-2 to remain, what is Tract LB-2 to be vacated, and to remain, what exactly is that?

Ms. Larocque: LB-2 was a platted tract from the original Fairgrove plat that was one contiguous tract that ran all the way down. What we're requesting is that LB-2 on the Fairgrove multi-family parcel, this portion be vacated, this portion to stay as-is, we're not touching it, we're just looking for the CDD's approval to making this portion so that we can rework it, put the buildings, and then replace it with the drainage easements that are required, this was just a tract that's encumbering the project.

Mr. Smale: Ok, and then if we go to exhibit A in the packet on page 6, we discussed the curb cuts and the number of driveways and stuff last time, the new drawing implies that there's just one driveway, or curb cut or street, whatever you want to call it that goes into the development, is that new?

Mr. Kitson: Exhibit A, that's showing the drainage easement, that's not showing the actual curb cut.

Mr. Smale: So, this doesn't mean anything of impact to the driveways?

Mr. Kitson: No.

Mr. Smale: Ok.

Mr. Kitson: I think we talked about this 2 weeks ago or something.

Mr. Smale: A month ago when we had the meeting.

Mr. Kitson: Right, and I forget who I was on the phone with Butch, but we did go through that study, the county and all the push back that we got, those three curb cuts that we have are pretty much what we're left with, in terms of access, traffic movement, fire and garbage turnaround, if we took one of those driveways it would cause a lot of backup and issues. So, right now as we represented, there are three curb cuts, two of

them, one of them goes to one unit, or two units, and one garage, and then there's the other two and that's it.

Mr. Smale: Ok.

Mr. Kitson: Because what I'm getting at is, we studied it and we went as far as we could and we couldn't get rid of one of those.

Mr. Smale: So, you can't get rid of the one that goes to just two units and have the other driveway that goes into the development service those two units that are on the end.

Mr. Kitson: By the way that's laid out, we wouldn't be able to have the turnaround and the access points that we would need for that third cut.

Mr. Smale: When you say turnaround for an access point, for who?

Mr. Kitson: For the builder, the resident and for any kind of requirements that we have in order to have turning radiuses to get into that garage.

Mr. Smale: Ok.

Mr. Kitson: So, that one curb cut is only for those two garages, the most northern one.

Mr. Smale: Ok, and the other ones?

Mr. Kitson: The other ones are gaps.

Mr. Smale: Ok.

Mr. Winkeljohn: I was going to ask, it is less than the original?

Ms. Larocque: Yes, it is less than the original.

Mr. Kitson: I'm sorry?

Ms. Larocque: The number of access points to the roadway.

Mr. Kitson: Yes, originally, well keep in mind, back in the day they had I think 7 curb cuts for 7 homes, we then had I think 5, and then we brought it down to 3. I always like to keep in mind too, when we were, I think I mentioned this to Steve earlier but, we have a full operation of sales, I mean there was this turnaround right here, we had 5, 6, 7 cars there at all times, 20 people coming through all in and out. The traffic from this is going to be way less than what we had in terms of our sales operations.

Mr. Smale: So, the current driveway goes in like this somewhere?

Mr. Kitson: Yes, it's like right in here, and that was all parked and then our sales center was here, and so you have a lot more movement here than you'll ever have with just two units and a couple of units over there. That's not factual, that is simply, I'm saying that out of opinion, but that was all part of our conversations as we were talking about these entrances.

Mr. Smale: Like I said, I don't live there, but if I did, I'd object to having those two driveways right in front of my garage, and I can see you need a couple of access points, could you orient this building a different way so that the garages were accessible over here?

Mr. Kitson: I'm not sure, like I said, we've gone through about 30 different reiterations of this plan over the last year, and again, keeping in mind we have always represented or had the intent back in the day to have even more curb cuts and more driveways than that, so we're not changing anything that we have ever, except in the past, other than actually reducing the amount of curb cuts that we have.

Mr. Proctor: Where would the trash pickup be for this?

Mr. Kitson: Where drive through and pick up normally.

Mr. Smale: So, it's on a schedule.

Mr. Kitson: And we're talking through that operationally with the builder, and that will be part of the condo docs, and condo association, including the turnaround for the garbage and fire.

Mr. Wishner: Can we get a copy of the site plan as it currently exists?

Mr. Kitson: I'll find out and keep in mind we're going to submit a SDP and based on comments and county kickback this might change, and so it's hard for me, and you know less, I'm not going to go out and put my stamp on it without knowing that it's actually been approved. Everything that we're talking about it is all contingent upon county approval.

Mr. Wishner: Right.

Mr. Winkeljohn: But as partners we're copied.

Mr. Kitson: Yes, and like I've mentioned to you guys, I mean, getting it all just transparency CDD-wise and what the Board is really looking for I think we're all accommodating, and I don't mind answering any other questions with your resident hats

on, that's absolutely fine and I'm open to continuing to keeping you guys informed as we get any comments or no comments, and as we make our way through the permitting process.

Mr. Wishner: I have a question regarding the CDD and these units. Can someone refresh my memory as to what these units will be assessed relative to all the other properties onsite relative to the CDD?

Mr. Winkeljohn: Yes, so there's two parts of the assessment, the debt portion cannot change, no matter what the allocation is, you cannot increase it on the unit, so if you had 10 units there, and they decided to make it 7, those missing 3 assessments for debt have to be purchased or paid for.

Mr. Wishner: Let me back you up a minute.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Ok.

Mr. Wishner: Prior to this site plan, what is this parcel being assessed, and what was contemplated as to how many units that would be spread across, and how does that compare to where we are now?

Mr. Kitson: So, he'll tell you what the total is, but regardless of the unit count, so let's just say this was really supposed to be in our head, we thought it was 36 units, so we spread that lump number, what you're about to mention throughout the 36 and that's what we expected. Now, that it's 28 units, we are going to obviously buy down the remaining units, so it doesn't change based on unit count to your point, it is the parcel itself, and so we're going to true that up and then whatever we expect that those units, obviously on a very logical basis they'll be paying those, and I don't know what that total number is, but I know we're buying down probably \$100,000 or \$150,000 worth.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Correct, the exact units are, what happened with your assessment process was we identified units by a number of categories that are lost in translation and we've had this conversation, it's hard to go backwards with 55' lots, 35' lots, and I can't tell immediately which ones they are, but we know the folios that are assigned to it and we know how much debt total would be there and we've already given the estoppel and what the price will be, so that's the debt part, that is regulated by the trust indenture and what's called a True Up agreement. Moving that aside, the operating assessment is

governed by equal charge, and so if it went down in units, you'll lose a few dollars a year ultimately.

Mr. Kitson: In terms of the assessment.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Right, in terms of the operating assessments, because everybody pays that \$956 or whatever it is per unit, so there will be a slight loss by a few thousand dollars.

Mr. Wishner: And that's not made up anywhere?

Mr. Winkeljohn: No, in a sort of hypothetical world, if that area had a different level of maintenance requirement, you could assess them differently but, without that being the case, it would disrupt the equity of all your other assessment thinking, and you'd be lopsided and you'd be under the gun, so you're going to lose a few there, the flip side of it is, they've paying the full amount of units for almost 16 years without using any of it, in theory, but there is a loss, so it's excellent to put that out loud, if it dropped from 36 to 28, it's \$5,000 approximately.

Mr. Wishner: Thank you, I appreciate the refresher on that.

Mr. Kitson: It's a great question.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Yes.

Mr. Smale: So, would it be correct for us to say that without agreement to this lake maintenance easement thing, without this, the development can't go forward?

Mr. Winkeljohn: No, easily, I think they could probably figure out a way to do it, but it would be much more complicated.

Mr. Kitson: It would be a big problem for our builder and for us.

Ms. Larocque: And these two easements, it's the tract of the two and then there's a drainage easement that does cross, so there are two easements that we are asking to be vacated and replaced.

Mr. Kitson: And then we'll bring them back in at the end of the day.

Mr. Wishner: And none of those easement changes will adversely impact the drainage or storm capacities.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Right, and Josh is on the line, and he could reiterate that, but he said on the record that's the case.

Ms. Larocque: We have preliminarily already reviewed the high level concept with Josh, he's gone through our preliminary report, they are working on all the calculations and the permitting, and we'll get all the required permits and that's the agency's job too, to make sure we're not adversely impacting anybody else.

Ms. Willson: And this is Alyssa, if you look at section 2, section 2 does provide that prior to the final platting Josh had the opportunity to review to ensure that the District has sufficient access with the new drainage easement because it will come back in.

Mr. Kitson: So, you guys are basically just walking away letting us do our work and then we're going to give you back everything that you wanted from the get go.

Ms. Willson: And they are walking away from a formal recorded easement but, there is a contractual access to the property, and then the District needs access to any of the adjacent lakes or drainage improvements, there is contractual ability for the District to come on the property if it needs to undergo any maintenance work.

Mr. Kitson: Correct.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Since really, we're giving up on some paperwork technicality but, in reality, we still have access.

Ms. Willson: It's recorded paperwork, yes exactly, we're cleaning up the recorded easement, we are walking away from that, but there is a contractual now ability to enter the property if there is reason for the District to need to access the property to maintain any of the stormwater improvements.

Mr. Kitson: So, it's just a relationship thing, and we obviously want everyone to be on the same page and want to do what's right and do it by the book.

Mr. Pergolizzi: And there's no financial impact on the community related to this decision.

Mr. Kitson: And we're not hiding anything either.

Mr. Pergolizzi: So, it's a neutral impact, it allows the community to advance forward.

Mr. Kitson: Correct.

Mr. Winkeljohn: And it's about an 18 month project, or a 2 year project, and I don't want to put you under the gun with that.

Mr. Kitson: Well, in terms of our development obligations before closing with the builder, that's going to be another, probably 7 or 8 months, and then with their buildout it will be another year and a half.

Mr. Winkeljohn: So, we're looking at almost 3 fiscal years.

Mr. Kitson: And then we'll do this, depending on their buildout schedule.

Mr. Winkeljohn: So, we get to collect our \$5,000 for another 3 years.

Mr. Pergolizzi: So, on this though, the only concern I would have is during that period of time, could it have any potential negative consequences particularly to the drainage while it's not being readily accessed?

Mr. Kitson: No, that's a great question, I don't think we would have any issues at all and again, giving the CDD that ability to come in and maintain that lake, even throughout that process gives them that comfort that they can assess anything they need to maintenance-wise and everything that we're doing is all in accordance with our approvals and our requirements and everything that the state, county, water management District, everybody requires us to do. So, if there was an issue, that would be on us anyway because we're the ones holding the permits.

Mr. Pergolizzi: I think the drainage transparency is good, I think the only concern is the contingency, there should be some type of contingency in the event that some catastrophic event happens.

Mr. Kitson: Yes, and that's all within our obligation as the developer, that's a good point, we wouldn't put that burden on the CDD, again, and especially with this agreement it would be our obligation anyway.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Very good.

Mr. Kitson: Both these easement requests does have a language partial release, both the lake maintenance and drainage easement as well as the grant easement.

Mr. Pergolizzi: I'm going to have to exit but, thank you.

Mr. Kitson: Thank you Joe, I appreciate you being here.

Mr. Pergolizzi: Thanks for the transparency.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Are there any other questions for staff or our guests today on this topic? If none, a motion with the substantial completion of the documents, language included and that final form will be circulated when obtained.

Mr. Shields: Yes.

Mr. Smale: Before we make a motion, I want to ask something, other Board members who are residents, is anybody else as sensitive to this number of curb cuts as I am? I actually live on this street a little bit further down but, out of concern for my neighbors, I just think that it's excessive to have three. If you could find a way to get this guy into his garage without putting another access point in there, that might be good.

Mr. Proctor: Well, I think I made the comment last month that all other neighborhoods only have one entrance in the community.

Mr. Kitson: I look at this community as Corsica, not Pistoia, not Carrara, this is Corsica, Corsica has 20 curb cuts, each building has its own curb cut.

Mr. Proctor: Well, that's why all the houses on that street are worth less than outside the other street, that's my point.

Mr. Kitson: I would disagree with that statement, I would greatly disagree with that statement, well I don't own property, but we have a very high investment in this property. I understand where your concern is but, I don't see there being any issue at all to that third curb cut for two garages that is going to have minimal impact to anyone on that street.

Mr. Smale: How about you Steve?

Mr. Wishner: To my mind, it potentially affects one house on the street, from the aesthetic perspective, it's not ideal, I would prefer something different but, as I look at the site plan, I'm not enough of an engineer or a landscape architect to try to imagine what a better design would be to avoid that one cut and that one cut is just for the one garage, so I am sensitive to your expressed concern. I struggle to figure out a way around it.

Mr. Smale: Well, it's not our job to figure out a way around it either.

Mr. Wisher: Right.

Mr. Smale: Kevin, what's your final comment on it?

Mr. Shields: Well, my preference would be to have less curb cuts but, I guess you could eliminate that building, but that's probably not going to happen.

Mr. Kitson: And again, that's why we ran to the drawing board as soon as we had this conversation, so I'm not saying that we didn't take your comments lightly, we dove into it but, the more we look at it, that is technically a driveway for one unit. You can call

it two all you want, but for one big single family home, that is the same traffic you would get for a single family home that's sitting there, so one additional curb cut for two, three, maybe four cars at most, I'm still trying to wrap my head around what the real concern would be, rather than me having 7 single family homes with two or three family members in each home coming out of that driveway. I thought this was actually more of a greater compromise for that than it would be one driveway coming out, and other than the engineering restrictions that we have, that was my thought process to feel comfortable about that curb cut.

Mr. Shields: What do you have, the difference you have 28 cars versus 7 cars in your example.

Mr. Kitson: Well, no, I know, but all I'm saying is that I think the main concern is that third cut, and that third cut, I would look at that as just one single family home cut.

Mr. Wishner: And there's no way to utilize the approach that you currently have to the sales center of one common entrance, our side of the gate.

Mr. Kitson: And again, if it wasn't for the lake, we'd be able to do pretty much, we'd have a lot more flexibility, but that lake and the requirements of keeping a certain massing and the drainage, and all of that we're actually presenting to you guys, and why we're here is, that's what restricted us and made that site the way it is.

Mr. Shields: That lake size is still what was discussed last time, it's going to be reduced to 1.61 directly to 1 acre.

Mr. Kitson: Right, so during the SDP process if anything does change, it will be like a balloon, so if you take off a little bit from one side for a setback or something like that, it will accommodate it on the side, and we have adjusted that lake. I've moved that lake around maybe 15 times, over the last year we've spent a lot of time, a lot of money figuring out the best way to accomplish what we need to, in terms of developable land while maintaining the lake size, and again, above what the requirement is for the CDD. We didn't want to just make it a tiny little pond, we wanted that to actually become an amenity for the residents, and therefore, it does restrict us in terms of our access, because yes, ideally, one entry into this whole thing would be phenomenal.

Mr. Smale: Can I ask a question, that building in question, yes, that building, is there any way to turn it more into that corner, so that the access to that driveway could be off of that common cut?

Mr. Kitson: Again, the problem is that you have all of these, and I can let Jackie reiterate that more, but even when you turn that with the amount of easements and the buffers and everything that we have required by the county and everything, there is only a certain amount of space that you can actually, like the corner of that building is probably laying right on that.

Ms. Larocque: So, there's drainage easements back there.

Mr. Kitson: Yes, there's landscape over there, there's drainage easements.

Ms. Larocque: We have setback requirements that we have to follow for the PUD and it's, as you turn into this building, the building is so long.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Right, so the space it creates, the new angle is not useable for that purpose because it's between two buildings.

Mr. Kitson: But it's a great point because during, again, my fifty different reiterations of this, we had the buildings all laid up and we had them all turning the other way, I had one shifted this way. If I went through my files right now, you'd see every single option that you guys are bringing up in terms of how we can adjust these properly to reduce curb cuts and reduce spacing all of it. It was just a long time, a long thought through process, and again, keeping in mind it all has to be acceptable by the builder which is also one of the priorities of our plan, is will the builder accept this, is this sellable.

Mr. Winkeljohn: I can't think of a project that I've worked on that isn't the final limit.

Mr. Kitson: And the fire people can do anything, any time, they can come in and go, I don't like it now, and they can do anything they want anytime they want, and if you've ever built a commercial building, they can have approved plans all the way through and through and at the end of the day when they walk in and they say, I don't like, they say, I don't like the color of that, you're stuck and they hold the keys to your success.

Ms. Larocque: And we've worked to mitigate that on this one, we've ran all of the auto internal requirements to meet all the terms and so, with this plan we feel like these three curb cuts, it's approvable, I can get to the county, we've reduced it from every

building having the driveway, so I do believe, as Tyler said, this is a very good compromise.

Mr. Smale: So, I assume this is going to be, and have all kinds of vegetation, some sort around it?

Mr. Kitson: Oh yes, and if you guys go out there on the site right now, I think I have 15 mature oak trees all root pruned, so keep in mind too, now that we know where the curb is, and if you go out there right now, the sales center is right in this area, we have massive beautiful oaks that are already established in these areas, we're not planning on moving them. A lot of these we're planning on keeping, and then all the other oak trees we're going to be putting in all around to really create that buffer and that look, everything that you guys want to feel when you're driving into Fairgrove.

Mr. Proctor: One question about security I don't think we've talked about, what's your plans for the sign border and the Livingston border as far as fixing the wall?

Mr. Kitson: Alright, so I'm going to go a little bit further into that question, we're going to start demo on this whole site at the end of next week, we're going to access this site from here. This whole site currently is secure as it is now currently, so we're actually not going to come in here and demo the eyebrow yet because we want to keep it secure. So, we're going to be demoing everything else. To that extent, we're going to be doing a full wall that will connect or have a connection within that entry monument and it will come down and meander to here and connect, and then here will be either a wall or a 6 foot fence with high density, and you can see it now, there's already a lot of density here in terms of vegetation but, we're going to add into it and make sure that it's flush and then you'll have the same aspect here, a fence and/or wall depending on.

Mr. Proctor: There's not a fence from here to somewhere in here, right?

Mr. Kitson: We were going to check that, did Sabrina check it?

Ms. Larocque: I'm still not sure.

Mr. Kitson: But we're going to put one in.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Yes, the wall and the fence, I noticed that last time, that was a big upgrade, that would be expensive to put in.

Mr. Kitson: What the wall?

Mr. Winkeljohn: Without you guys doing it, yes, 10 years from now if security were a heightened issue.

Mr. Kitson: Right, and our priority here is wall, and then fencing, that is highly landscaped, like drive down Veteran's Parkway, and you look up, you don't even see the fence on that wall, that's the kind of level of vegetation that we'll have, and then as you see these are oak trees, we've got big things, everything that's existing that we're going to keep that's really high and dense, and then this is just a very good point entry into building 4.

Ms. Larocque: And this was the first large center that the landscape architect team took at it, they sent this over to the Kitson team to review it, so this is kind of the first rendering on the landscape pallet.

Mr. Kitson: Right, you have bubbler, you have a lot of littorals.

Mr. Proctor: It would be at what stage of the project?

Mr. Kitson: Timewise, I mean it will probably be earlier rather than later, because again, once we close on our obligations I kind of want to walk away. The only thing that we're going to have to finish up again, upon approval of the county, would be the entry of the construction, but I plan on getting this done, this done, and actually most of that done. That's going to be just more of a strategy talk with the builder on what they want us to do, but our intent initially is to get that all done before we close with them, and before they come in and start. I'm assuming that they come in and start this way and make their way out.

Ms. Larocque: And it is our intent to have the demo construction traffic access off of Livingston, have Tyler's construction traffic access off of Livingston, and the same for the builder.

Mr. Kitson: And then the stub ups of all of our utilities and whatnot, that will happen simultaneously with my development work here, so when you see demo work, you're not going to see much going on out here, it's all going to be interior to the site, and then we'll do this side, and the demo work itself will only take a month or two months right?

Ms. Larocque: The building demo work, yes.

Mr. Kitson: Right, that won't take long, and we're going to silk fence the whole thing and it will all be kind of cleaned out.

Ms. Larocque: And they've pulled the pavers, they've already got the oak trees that they want to save and protect it.

Mr. Kitson: And Don already took all of those out, I mean the trees are there but, the demo team is going to work around all of them because we want to keep them there for a while so they're healthy.

Ms. Larocque: And you can't buy trees like that, it takes so much care with those trees.

Mr. Kitson: And to this point where we think about things, there are a lot of beautiful trees but, a lot of them are actually too tall where you're looking through them, I mean we like the ones that are dense, depending on where we're using them, so the ones we're using here might be those taller trees with high dense on the top, and then you have these other ones like these here that we're going to leave because they're so full and rich and they're just a lot more dense in terms of their vegetation on the trees, we go through all that detail, but this is going to look beautiful when it's done, I mean it's our main entry.

Mr. Shields: Does it go flat across there, or is there a swale inbetween the property?

Mr. Kitson: This is going to go high up.

Mr. Shields: No, between the road on Fairgrove.

Mr. Kitson: The road at Fairgrove, it depends, oh this, that's going to be flat.

Ms. Larocque: It will have a slight slope.

Mr. Shields: Are you draining to the road, or are you draining to the swale?

Ms. Larocque: No, so, their road itself will contain all this water management, treat all of this water management and send it to its lake, there is a section where you have Fairgrove that's going to slope up to get to that area, so there's always a little bit of backslope that comes back on to the road, but that's all accounted for in the calculations and in the model.

Mr. Kitson: And I'm curious to see what this thing looks like when we rip those trailers out.

Mr. Proctor: How big are the coach homes do you know, square footage-wise?

Mr. Kitson: They go from, I think the first floor is around, give or take 100 square feet, around 2,000 square feet and then when you get the second floor, they're at like

2,400 or 2,500, or 2,600 something like that. The second floor is more because they're about the ground, they get the garages. Are there any other questions?

Mr. Winkeljohn: Good discussion, I think everything has been vetted out the best it can.

Mr. Kitson: And like I said, when we're going through this process I know you guys aren't used to seeing me at these meetings, even if I'm not asking for anything I wouldn't mind coming in and giving you guys the download and answering any other questions, especially as we go through and we get comments, which might not be initially, it might be like two months from now we'll start getting comments on our submittal.

Mr. Wishner: The more information we have access to the better.

Mr. Kitson: Yes, agreed.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Any other questions or is there a motion as stated?

On MOTION by Mr. Wishner seconded by Mr. Proctor with all in favor, accepting the agreement regarding the Lake Maintenance Easement Release and Substitution KE Talis Park Properties, LLC was approved.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Alright, that authorizes the execution. Thank you for that and we'll coordinate the signature of the final document with our chairman as soon as possible.

Ms. Willson: And Paul, we can have the agreement executed and we'll just finalize the releases with the appropriate exhibits but, I think that agreement can be executed now.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Right, I'll have it signed today, ok very good.

SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Discussion of Procedures for the General Election

Mr. Winkeljohn: Moving back to item No. 6, in your packet is a one page overview of the election process. This is real important for residents to pay attention to because you have 3 seats that are going to hit the general election again this year. Two of them were prior general elections seats, but no one ran, so we had what we call our holdover seats, but seats #2, #3 and #5 which is Sheila's and Kevin's seats, specifically as developer representatives and Mike your seat. It's important to recognize the dates that

the election process requires. The qualification period is June 13th through June 17th and there's a really fun political debate as to how the state did that for people that don't live here all the time but, there's probably a reason. Nonetheless, the qualification period for the November election is that one week in June, they do allow the paperwork to be submitted early and they will hold it for you and put it in, but you have to discuss that with the Supervisor of Elections, so Mike your seat, you have to fill out the intent to run again, I'm assuming you want to stick around but, you basically can call the Supervisor of Elections and ask him when they're going to start taking the applications and they'll tell you yes, we can take them, and I think it's a month before, up to a month before and they take another Form 1 sometimes, you did it once before I believe.

Mr. Smale: Yes, I can't remember, but the question I have is this, how is this publicized, so let's say there's 500 residents or 1,000 or whatever it is here, how do they know that they have a chance to run for this then?

Mr. Winkeljohn: Well, one of which just took place, I brought it up at our meeting and it's on your agenda, that's one, the rest of it is the county election, it's their role to do that, but as residents you may want to, and there's a lot of ways to do it, you could post it loudly in your normal documentation, or you could do it more quietly where you approach people and let them know the qualification period is that week, because you have two seats to fill and so that's why I'm already counting on you to stay and to find a friend.

Mr. Smale: Well, I'd like to find a friend even though we have Jim this time, but he certainly is a great chairman, but there are a lot of people that don't even know that this CDD exists and that people can run for it and be involved in their community. I'd like to be able to reach them somehow, would it be illegal in any way for us to publicize this to the entire community?

Mr. Winkeljohn: It's a question of staff, but it's up to you.

Mr. Wishner: If we wanted to, I suppose we could have Megan send an email blast out to everybody.

Mr. Smale: Because there might be 12 people that want to run, there might be none, I don't know.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Right, and in a community like yours, you're kind of in the middle where you guys are interested and active and professional, in other communities it's more

attention and personality focused people to put it in those terms, and you want to be careful of who you ask so could you send it out broadly and be safe, most likely. In other communities I would offline, I would beg you not to ever do that and to have it on a one on one basis because the person who wants the attention, I've had people who want to run for city council or something like that and they see an opening to get elected to, and they use the District as a political stepping stone and they just use it to get behind them to get attention to run on a platform, that isn't going to happen here, I really don't believe that, but that's your call, not mine.

Mr. Smale: Understood.

Ms. Leith: Now when you first joined, you were the first resident on this Board, how did you find out about it and decide to do it, I guess is my question.

Mr. Smale: Well, I knew something about the CDD business because I come from the bond industry and I knew all about CDD bonds, and so I knew that when we bought here I knew it had a CDD, I knew what that meant, I looked up the bonds, and all of that so I was somewhat interested, and knew a little bit about it and thought I could contribute.

Ms. Leith: And how did you find out that there was a resident opening on the Board?

Mr. Smale: I think I just went to a meeting like this.

Ms. Leith: Ok, I was just curious.

Mr. Smale: I think I just went because it's right by my house, I went to a meeting.

Ms. Leith: Ok, because you had that prior knowledge of the experience with bonds.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Right, and I'd like to have you around because there's a chance that the 2013 bond might be ripe for a refinancing next year, so just keep that in the back of your mind.

Mr. Proctor: So, Sheila, you and Kevin plan to continue?

Mr. Winkeljohn: Well, they're not allowed to run because you have to be a registered voter in the District to run, unless you live here, I didn't ask that question.

Ms. Leith: No.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Ok, so that's not going to happen, and it's much cleaner paperwork if you don't have the developer in a general election seat going forward, as soon as somebody will fill it, you should fill it, and if nobody runs, I'm not saying this is a

terribly bad strategy that if no one runs and then you three appoint someone to a position, and that can happen too, very likely. So, all of the above can be a healthy outcome for your community, but I think for you all, my preference is to think of it as colleagues that you think can bring something, you approach them, and if they're interested as a resident who's on an informed basis that seems to always be a definite home run shot, because they know why they're being invited, they understand the limits of obligation and they'll understand the history through you before they make the decision, that kind of stuff, so there's a lot to be said for that approach.

Mr. Smale: And since my seat is vacant, I have to run again?

Mr. Winkeljohn: You don't have to run, but I really want you to.

Mr. Smale: Well, I don't have to but what I mean is if I want to be on the Board, because I can't be appointed by two people who are left.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Yes, you can, actually.

Mr. Smale: Oh really, ok, don't we have to have a majority?

Mr. Winkeljohn: Well, if they both resign, then you would have two seated members, the Statutes allow that the remaining members of the Board can appoint until you have a quorum and fill out the vacancies.

Mr. Smale: Ok.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Because you can't conduct business with two, but the one business they can conduct without a quorum is to appoint to get a quorum, that's sort of an add on that in the last 10 years to the Statute to recognize when the developer Boards were all defaulting, and there was nobody left but me in a room by myself trying to conduct business, it was pretty lonely. I mean I literally had my college roommate who was a lawyer over here sitting on Boards because I couldn't find anybody.

Mr. Smale: Well, given this, I think offline maybe we can discuss if I didn't want to chair the Board.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Any feedback I can give you but, you all know your peers better than anyone and you'll know the best approach, until you choose to resign.

Mr. Wishner: If we come up with someone who we would like to have on the Board and they have potential interest, who should they contact?

Mr. Winkeljohn: Well, it's always best that they contact the manager for decisions that could come before the Board for Sunshine purposes, so they contact me, say I've heard about the seat, and I'll jump ahead a little bit, in May, you could have Sheila or Kevin choose to resign, and you could appoint somebody in May that you think would be a good candidate to get them in the process as soon as possible, like you don't have to wait for the election in November, they could start serving right away.

Mr. Smale: Does the term expire November 3rd?

Mr. Winkeljohn: So, it's technically, I think it's 20 some odd days, there's a rule, it's a little bit later in November, is when the seats expire but, you don't leave the job until you're replaced technically, and there's a lot of interpretation, but that's always been how we've handled it in this business and Alyssa would be our guide on how to do that.

Ms. Willson: What was the specific question?

Mr. Winkeljohn: Just how the terms start and end and the election is obviously the first week in November but, the seat transfer is couple weeks later.

Ms. Willson: Right, I think it's 20 days Paul.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Right, and I've gotten screamed at by newly elected people who didn't want to wait until the end of November to get in their seat if you can imagine.

Ms. Willson: It's the second Tuesday following the election and it's when the vacant seat is declared and somebody is seated at the seat.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Right, so that's 14 days to be exact, and city commissions if you ever look at their November meeting schedule, all around the state magically have a meeting pop up to swear in their new people as early as possible, people are anxious to get into the seat in some cases, so that's fully understood right?

Mr. Smale: Right.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Alright good.

Mr. Wishner: So, could I ask you to send me, or have someone send me your contact information because I don't have it in my system.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Not a problem, I'll do it before we leave.

Mr. Wishner: Ok.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Very good, so item No. 6 is covered.

EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS Staff Reports

Mr. Winkeljohn: That brings us to staff reports, Alyssa, anything for our friends today?

A. Attorney

Ms. Willson: I just wanted to note on item No. 6 we need to update the date of the election from the 20 days to 22 days, it's actually November 8, 2022.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Ok, thank you.

Ms. Willson: Of course, and nothing further to report today, and we'll continue to work to have everything finalized for those releases.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Thank you.

B. Engineer – Discussion/Updates on Stormwater Management Needs Analysis

Mr. Winkeljohn: Josh, are you still with us?

Mr. Evans: I am.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Ok, are there any updates for us?

Mr. Evans: No, I don't have any other updates other than we kicked off the stormwater analysis and we made some new progress from the last month, other than that, no I do not have anything.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Do you anticipate we will have that by the May meeting?

Mr. Evans: I would expect yes.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Ok that would be great.

Mr. Evans: It's due in June, so we expecting the permitting.

Ms. Willson: Perfect, and if we don't have it in final form, maybe you can always bring the draft of what you have to have approved in substantial form and authorize the District to finalize it and get it filed by June.

Mr. Evans: Will do, fortunately the state came out with a spreadsheet template of what we're supposed to fill out and I think they probably started getting a bunch of random reports and all of them show different types of information, so the template is going to make it a lot more helpful and a guide of what we have to create. So, I will send the template to both of you so you have it for your other CDDs so it can be extremely helpful.

Mr. Winkeljohn: I appreciate that, yes, I've seen it, and I've heard the template was helpful.

Mr. Evans: Yes, the Statute itself is relatively vague as far as what we're to produce, and so it must have generated a ton of questions because that spreadsheet came out.

Mr. Winkeljohn: And it's hard to imagine that every June the state might come up with a different way to say it, right?

Mr. Evans: Yes, right, and I'll need a little bit of revenue information from you actually, and I'll get back with you separately on that.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Whatever you need.

Mr. Evans: Ok I appreciate it.

C. Manager

Mr. Winkeljohn: The manager's report was handled by the budget and the election updates, so congratulations for you all of being ahead of things there.

NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Financial Reports

A. Approval of Check Register

B. Balance Sheet and Income Statement

Mr. Winkeljohn: The financial reports are in your packets, the check run, balance sheet and income statement, are all there, if you have any questions or a motion to accept would be appreciated.

On MOTION by Mr. Proctor seconded by Mr. Smale with all in favor, the Check Register and the Balance Sheet and Income Statement were approved.

TENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Supervisors Requests

Mr. Winkeljohn: Any other open items?

Mr. Shields: I don't have that signed contract for the irrigation.

Mr. Winkeljohn: I thought I sent that to you, alright, you don't have it with you.

Mr. Shields: No.

Mr. Winkeljohn: I will do it.

Mr. Shields: I need that so I can get started.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Ok, sorry about that. I sent you the lake one the other day, so I might have confused the two. I knew you need the lake one where the name changed, ok, my mistake.

Mr. Shields: Then the second thing is, when I handle that fence, the one I sent you this morning or yesterday.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Oh yes, so that one, that's the easement and we wanted to get it surveyed to verify what they're going to do is going to stay off the District correctly.

Mr. Shields: Well right now it's on the front of the lake and the homeowner currently wants, well they have to move and sell the house, so they want to know where the fence is going to go.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Right, and I answered that I and this is for the Board, Kevin already knows the answer that typically the homeowner provides the survey of where their property line is and where they're going to put the fence that's not in the District easement. So, if they don't do it.

Mr. Smale: What property is this?

Mr. Shields: It's the old Justice house.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Where is that located?

Mr. Shields: I'll just show you, like the fourth house.

Mr. Winkeljohn: So, it's really a matter of style for this Board to choose.

Mr. Shields: How do you want me to respond to the homeowner I guess?

Mr. Winkeljohn: Right, that would be my advice, in a pure world would be it's the resident's problem to do the survey but, they kind of inherited it, I could make an argument that the District, it wouldn't be inappropriate for the District to do the survey for our own future purposes so that we have our own document that shows the line. I can order the survey work.

Mr. Wishner: Why wouldn't we have the homeowner do it? I mean the survey shouldn't come out any different no matter who does it, right?

Mr. Winkeljohn: Right, it's just a question of who is going to pay for it, that's the question.

Mr. Smale: Let the homeowner pay for it, he's going to pay for one no matter what, right?

Mr. Winkeljohn: Either the new or the old homeowner, or somebody splits that.

Mr. Smale: Right, when there's a closing, there's a survey.

Mr. Shields: Do you want to respond to the homeowner?

Mr. Winkeljohn: I'm happy to tell them.

Mr. Shields: Ok.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Anything else Kevin from you report?

Mr. Shields: No.

Mr. Winkeljohn: Any other items?

Mr. Wishner: So, our next meeting is on the 13th of April?

Mr. Winkeljohn: We wouldn't need to meet in April, we don't have any business.

Mr. Wishner: Ok.

Mr. Proctor: So, we'll meet in May, the second Wednesday?

Mr. Winkeljohn: Yes sir, May 11th.

ELEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS Adjournment

Mr. Winkeljohn: With the exception of your signatures, a motion to adjourn would be in order.

On MOTION by Mr. Proctor seconded by Ms. Leith with all in favor, the meeting was adjourned.


Secretary / Assistant Secretary


Chairman / Vice Chairman